

Criteria for reviewing proposals and allocation of points for items to be reviewed

Items to be reviewed (basic points, additional points)

1. Purpose, contents and implementation method of the project (40 points)

1.1 Project purpose (total 5 points: 5 basic points and 0 additional points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the Project purpose corresponds to the project purpose described in the “Guidelines for applying”.

1.2 Contents of the project and applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site) (total 15 points: 5 basic points and 10 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the contents of the project are consistent with the project purpose, and described in detail in consideration of applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site).

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the proposal contains contents which are meaningful for this project other than the contents stipulated in the “Guidelines for applying” (novelty, originality).

1.3 Implementation method of the project (total 20 points: 5 basic points and 15 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the implementation method of the project is consistent with the purpose and contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the implementation method of the project is considered in detail, and whether or not the method is effective, purposeful and feasible. Moreover, whether or not the proposal is creative.

Criterion for the additional points: Specifically, whether or not the concrete method is shown for satisfying the “Operation of research and development” described in the “Guidelines for applying”.

2. Project implementation schedule (15 points)

2.1 Project implementation schedule (total 15 points: 5 basic points and 10 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the project implementation schedule is appropriate to the purpose and contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the project implementation schedule shows the grounds for implementing the project appropriately (staffing, procedure, etc.).

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the procedure for implementing the project contains creativity to implement the project effectively.

3. Project implementation scheme (30 points)

3.1 Project implementation scheme, division of roles (total 10 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the project implementation scheme and the division of roles are consistent with the contents of the project.

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not staffing is sufficient to implement the project.

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the number of staff, scheme (including the project leader) and division of roles are clearly described.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the project implementation scheme is properly arranged to respond to requests from the management office promptly and flexibly.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the project implementation scheme has the implementation ability and the coordination structure to consider/judge the applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site).

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the project implementation scheme is properly arranged to commence the project immediately after the conclusion of the contract.

3.2 Specialty as an organization, track record of other similar projects (total 10 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the applicant has an accumulation of indispensable expert knowledge, know-how etc. to implement the project as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the applicant has ever implemented other similar projects as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the applicant has an accumulation of technical knowledge or expert knowledge concerning the contents of the project as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the applicant has experiences of implementing projects which can contribute to implementing this project, and/or a network with specialized organizations useful to implementing this project as an organization.

3.3 Expertise and experiences of similar projects of engaged employees (total 10 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have an accumulation of indispensable expert knowledge, know-how etc. to implement the project.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have ever implemented other similar projects.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have an accumulation of technical knowledge or expert knowledge concerning the contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional point: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have

experiences of implementing projects which can contribute to implementing this project, and/or a network with specialized organizations useful to implementing this project.

4. Project costs (total 10 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not project costs are appropriately allocated to the project purpose and contents.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not project costs are allocated as appropriately as possible.

5. Financial basis and management structure (total 5 points: 5 basic points and 0 additional points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the applicant has a financial basis for implementation of the project (Whether or not it has an organization to organize and store evidence documents for expenditures.).

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not it can be confirmed that the applicant can proceed with secure accounting procedures as a subsidized entity for the project implementation.

Point rating method

- $A(\text{Excellent}) \times 1$, $B(\text{Good}) \times 0.7$, $C(\text{Average}) \times 0.5$, $D(\text{Below average}) \times 0.3$, $E(\text{Poor}) \times 0$
- Point rating method : Each item to be evaluated will be ranked A through E respectively, and the total points of the applicant will be calculated by multiplying the points allotted for each item by the above-noted weighting factors for each rank.
- The full points are 100. The basic points are 45, and the additional points are 55.
- However, a proposal shall not be adopted unless the basic score reaches at least 45