

## Criteria for reviewing proposals and allocation of points for items to be reviewed

Items to be reviewed (basic points, additional points)

### 1. Purpose, contents and implementation method of the project (35 points)

#### 1.1 Project purpose (total 5 points: 5 basic points and 0 additional points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the Project purpose corresponds to the project purpose described in the “Guidelines for applying”.

#### 1.2 Contents of the project and applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site) (total 15 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points each)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the contents of the project are consistent with the Project content indicated by the “Guidelines for applying”, and described in detail in consideration of applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site).

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the proposal contains the experiment conditions and development specifications have been fully considered to meet requirements when the decommissioning works will be implemented, and project milestones have been established clearly and set by numerical values

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the proposal contains contents which are meaningful for this project other than the contents stipulated in the “Guidelines for applying” (novelty, originality and effectiveness).

#### 1.3 Implementation method of the project (total 15 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points each)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the implementation method of the project is consistent with the purpose and contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the implementation method of the project is considered in detail, and whether or not the method is effective, purposeful and feasible. Moreover, whether or not the proposal is creative.

Criterion for the additional points: Specifically, whether or not the proposal contains the concrete method to take into account gathering domestic and overseas wisdom and human resource development.

### 2. Project implementation schedule (15 points)

#### 2.1 Project implementation schedule (total 15 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points each)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not an appropriate project implementation schedule is indicated for the purpose and contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the project implementation schedule shows the grounds for implementing the project appropriately (procedure(s), etc.) and to start the project promptly after grant decision.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not the procedure for implementing the project contains creativity to implement the project effectively.

### 3. Project implementation scheme (35 points)

#### 3.1 Project implementation scheme, division of roles (total 13 points: 2.5 basic points each and additional points [1] 2points, [2] 2points, [3] 4points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the project implementation scheme, division of roles (including the project leader) and the number of staff are clearly described.

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not staffing is sufficient to implement the project.

Criterion for the additional points [1]: Whether or not the project implementation scheme is properly arranged to respond to requests, instructions and advice from Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry and the management office promptly and flexibly.

Criterion for the additional points [2]: Whether or not the project implementation scheme has the implementation ability and the coordination structure to consider/judge the applicability to countermeasures for decommissioning (including applicability to the work site), and has established a research and development system in line with TEPCO's project management.

Criterion for the additional points [3]: Whether or not it is indicated the specific utilizing policy and considering policy of utilizing local companies which run business in Fukushima-Prefecture corresponding to implementation items and contents of the project. Especially, whether or not it is indicated the specific utilizing policy and considering policy of using local companies which run business in Hamadori area and other region in Fukushima prefecture(\*1) and/or decommissioning related facilities which play a role in Fukushima Innovation Coast Framework(\*2) corresponding to implementation items and contents of the project. (Adding 2 points if the case it satisfies only the utilization of local companies which run business in Fukushima prefecture, adding 4 points if the case it satisfies the utilization of local companies which run business in Hamadori area and other region in Fukushima prefecture and/or decommissioning related facilities which play a role in Fukushima Innovation Coast Framework.)

\*1 Area : Iwaki city, Soma city, Tamura city, Minami Soma city, Kawamata town, Hirono town, Naraha town, Tomioka town, Kawauchi village, Okuma town, Futaba town, Namie town, Katsurao village, Shinci town, Iitate village

\*2 Such as Naraha Center for Remote Control Technology Development (mock-up testing facility)

#### 3.2 Expertise as an organization, track record of other similar projects (total 12 points: 5 basic points and additional points [1] 1point, [2] 2 points, [3] 2 points, [4] 2points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not it is described that the applicant has an accumulation of indispensable expert knowledge, know-how etc. to implement the project as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points [1]: Whether or not the applicant has ever implemented other similar projects as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points [2]: Whether or not the applicant has an accumulation of technical knowledge or expert knowledge concerning the contents of the project as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points [3]: Whether or not the applicant has experiences of implementing projects which can contribute to implementing this project, and/or a network with specialized organizations useful to implementing this project as an organization.

Criterion for the additional points [4]: Whether or not the applicant has activity results which contribute to the revitalizing the local economy in Fukushima such as utilizing local companies which run in Fukushima-Prefecture in the project of decommissioning contaminated water and treated water management or similar project within the past 5 years as an organization. Especially, whether or not the applicant has activity results which contribute to the revitalizing the local economy in the relevant area such as utilizing local companies which run business in Hamadori area and other region in Fukushima prefecture and/or decommissioning related facilities which play a role in Fukushima Innovation Coast Framework in the project of decommissioning contaminated water and treated water management or similar project within 5 years as an organization. (Adding 1 point if the case it satisfies only the utilization of local companies which run in Fukushima prefecture, adding 2 points if the case it satisfies the utilization of local companies which run business in Hamadori area and other region in Fukushima prefecture and/or decommissioning related facilities which play a role in Fukushima Innovation Coast Framework.)

### 3.3 Expertise and experiences of similar projects of engaged employees (total 10 points: 5 basic points and additional points [1] 1point, [2] 2 points, [3] 2 points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not it is described that employees to be engaged in the project have an accumulation of indispensable expert knowledge, know-how etc. to implement the project.

Criterion for the additional points [1]: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have ever implemented other similar projects.

Criterion for the additional points [2]: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have an accumulation of technical knowledge or expert knowledge concerning the contents of the project.

Criterion for the additional point [3]: Whether or not employees to be engaged in the project have experiences of implementing projects which can contribute to implementing this project, and/or a network with specialized organizations useful to implementing this project.

## 4. Labor and Project costs (total 10 points: 5 basic points and 5 additional points respectively)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not labor and project costs are appropriately allocated to the project purpose and contents.

Criterion for the additional points: Whether or not labor and project costs are allocated as appropriately as possible.

5. Financial basis and management structure (total 5 points: 2.5 basic points each and 0 additional points)

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not the applicant has a financial basis for implementation of the project (Whether or not it has an organization to organize and store evidence documents for expenditures.).

Criterion for the basic points: Whether or not it can be confirmed that the applicant can proceed with secure accounting procedures as a subsidized entity for the project implementation.

Point rating method

- Point rating method : For the basic points, whether each item is satisfied or not will be evaluated on two choice “○”(Satisfied) or “×”(Not Satisfied). For the additional points, each item to be evaluated will be ranked three choices “○: to meet the requirements of additional points sufficiently (×1)” or “△: to meet the requirements of additional points (×0.5)” or “×: no additional points (×0)”.
- The full points are 100. The basic points are 45, and the additional points are 55.
- However, a proposal shall not be adopted unless the basic score reaches at least 45.